UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,166	07/30/2003	Rajmani Tigunait	521 P 049	7643
22908 7590 07/24/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
	SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CIII C. 100, 12			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/630,166	TIGUNAIT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael V. Meller	1655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  noe except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-23 and 36-41 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6,8-17,20-23 and 3  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1, 2, 7, 18, 19 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>6-41</u> is/are withdrawn from consi	deration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The Specification**  13. **The Specification**  14. **The Specification**  15. **The Specification**  16. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  18. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  10. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  12. **The Specification**  13. **The Specification**  14. **The Specification**  15. **The Specification**  16. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  18. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  11. **The Specification**  12. **The Specification**  13. **The Specification**  14. **The Specification**  15. **The Specification**  16. **The Specification**  16. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  17. **The Specification**  18. **The Specification**  19. **The Specification**  19	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the specific composition of water, zinc acetate dehydrate, hydrastine and an extract of grapefruit seed in the reply filed on 5/10/2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

As such, claims 4-6, 8-17, 20-23, 36-41 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner since they are not drawn to the specifically elected composition as noted above.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/630,166

Art Unit: 1655

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 18, 19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelber et al. in view of Domingo et al.

Gelber teaches a medicinal composition containing zinc acetate, goldenseal extract (which as noted on col. 5, lines 30-40 that hydrastine comes from goldenseal thus hydrastine is in the goldenseal extract) and grapefruit extracts were combined together in the same formulation, see col. 5, lines 30-45, col. 7, lines 1-55, the tables, col. 12, lines 1-45. Note also that the composition can be in the form of a nasal spray which is taught as being an aqueous saline solution which clearly has water in it, see col. 12, lines 15-25.

Gelber does not explicitly teach that the zinc acetate is a dehydrate in the reference.

Domingo teaches that zinc acetate dehydrate is known to be used in medicinal formulations. Zinc acetate dehydrate is simply the salt of zinc acetate which is clearly known as taught by Domingo.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the specific salt of zinc acetate, namely zinc acetate dehydrate, as taught by Domingo in the medical composition of Gelber since Domingo makes it clear that such salts are clearly known and clearly known to be used in pharmaceutical formulations, see abstract of Domingo.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,166

Art Unit: 1655

Further, the result-effective adjustment in conventional working parameters (e.g., determining an appropriate salt of a specific compound, namely, zinc acetate dehydrate) is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is well within the purview of the skilled artisan especially in the fact that Domingo makes it clear that the salt of zinc acetate is well known and is used for pharmaceutical formulations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,166 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655